

Centre for Information Policy Leadership (CIPL) Side Event in the margins of the 40th ICDPPC

CIPL Industry Working Session on AI and Data Protection

Accenture, Boulevard de Waterloo 16, 1000 Brussels, Belgium 23 October 2018 | 9:30 – 12:30

9:00 AM Registration

9:30 AM Welcome and Introduction (10 minutes)

- Sojana Bellamy, President, Centre for Information Policy Leadership
- Fred Cate, Senior Policy Advisor, Centre for Information Policy Leadership

CIPL members will hold an industry only open discussion on data protection approaches associated with AI and machine learning applications. We will discuss the issues addressed in CIPL's recent white paper **Delivering Sustainable AI Accountability in Practice: Artificial Intelligence and Data Protection in Tension**, focusing on how AI and machine learning can enhance data protection and privacy compliance and how to minimise tension between data protection principles and the effective deployment of AI tools. We will also discuss the next steps in CIPL's AI project, focusing on how the elements of organisational accountability can be applied to the AI context to ensure responsible and sustainable AI use. Questions we may discuss in detail are set forth below.

Note that there will be no discussion leads. We will go around the table on each issue so that all participants can share their views on each of the items below. Participants are asked to bring specific examples of emerging best practices and illustrations to the discussion.

- 1. Which data protection principles may pose a challenge to AI and machine learning applications and why? (e.g. data minimisation, data retention, purpose specification, notice and consent, ADM rules, rules around sensitive data, etc.)
- 2. How can AI applications address these challenges and support and enhance data protection compliance?
- 3. How can organisations assess the risks associated with an AI processing operation and take measures to mitigate such risks? (e.g. algorithmic impact assessments)
- 4. How can anonymisation/pseudonymisation techniques help to facilitate compliance?
- 5. How can organisations ensure appropriate transparency for individuals with regard to AI deployments? (e.g. what does effective algorithmic transparency look like?)
- 6. Can other tools compensate for difficulties in providing transparency or obtaining consent (e.g. rights, redress and review?)
- 7. How can organisations facilitate individual rights such as access, correction and the right to human intervention in their AI deployments?
- 8. What does an accountable AI governance framework look like for your organisation, both at the organisational level or within specific teams? Does it include internal or external review boards?

10:40 – 11 AM Break

12:30 PM End of Roundtable